## SCANNED by ASBF

037 25. 200R

1	
1	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General
2	(Firm State Bar No. 14000)
3	DAWN WALTON LEE Assistant Attorney General
4	Office of the Attorney General State Bar No.
5	15 S. 15th Ave. Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3003 Tel: (602) 542-7672
6	Fax: (602) 364-3202
7	Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy
8	
9	BEFORE THE ARIZON
10	In the Matter of

NA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

### WILLIAM BROPHY,

Holder of License No. 12173 For the Practice of Pharmacy

In the State of Arizona

Board Case No. 06-0027-PHR

**CONSENT AGREEMENT** 

15

16

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

### RECITALS

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and the responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy ("Board") and under A.R.S. §§ 32-1901, et. seq. and 41-1092.07(F)(5), William Brophy ("Respondent"), holder of Arizona Pharmacist License Number 12173, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.

- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Complaint No. 3098 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 8. All admissions made by the Respondent in this Consent Agreement are made solely for the final disposition of this matter, and any related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, any

admissions made by Respondent in this Consent Agreement are not intended for any other use, such as in the context of another regulatory agency's proceedings, or civil or criminal proceedings, whether in the State of Arizona or in any other state or federal court.

- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. Respondent understands that the Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defenses.
- 13. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and may be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 14. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. §§ 32-1901.01(B)(20), -1927(A)(1).

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1

Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement 14. constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. §§ 32-1901.01(B)(20), -1927(A)(1).

ACCEPTED AND AGREED BY RESPONDENT

Dated: 9 - 14 - 06

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the County of Maricopa, State of ARIZONA this 14th day of September, 2006, by William Brophy.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Arizona State Board of Pharmacy ("Board") is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.
- 2. William Brophy ("Respondent") holds Arizona Pharmacist License Number 12173.
- 3. During all times relevant to these findings, Respondent served as the Pharmacist-in-Charge for UpTown Pharmacy in Phoenix, Arizona. Uptown Pharmacy, owned by Gary Gilbert and OEDIV Corp., holds Arizona Pharmacy Permit Number 2508. ("Permittee").
- 4. On or about April 19, 2006, Board staff initiated an investigation after receiving a complaint from a California patient's family member alleging that Patient

analgesic used for the management of moderate to moderately severe pain. Recommended dosage is 50 to 100 mg as needed for pain every four to six hours, not to exceed 400 mg per day. However, Tramadol has the potential to cause psychological dependence due to disruption in serotonin levels. Because it can reinitiate physical dependence, Tramadol should not be used in patients with a tendency to drug abuse or a history of chronic opioid use or drug dependence.

6. According to records provided to the Board by UpTown Pharmacy, it filled the following Tramadol 50mg prescriptions for the Patient (under the names K.F. and S.F.) between September 2005 and January 2006:

10	<u>Date</u>	Rx No.	Quantity	Patient Name
	09/07/05	UT5268	100	S.F.
11	09/15/05	UT5872	90	K.F.
12	09/16/05	UT5924	100	S.F.
12	09/28/05	UT6636	90	K.F.
13	09/29/05	UT6692	100	S.F.
ן כו	10/10/05	UT7325	60	S.F.
14	10/17/05 10/25/05	UT7693	60 60	S.F. S.F.
	11/08/05	UT8252 UT9312	30	S.F. S.F
15	11/08/05	UT9424	90	K.F.
	11/18/05	UT10636	90	K.F.
16	12/01/05	UT12293	9ŏ	K.F.
	12/06/05	UT12902	100	S.F.
17	12/12/05	UT13501	90	K.F.
	12/14/05	UT13928	90	S.F.
18	12/28/05	UT15430	100	K.F.
	12/30/05	<b>UT1578</b> 3	90	S.F.
19	01/12/06	UT17352	190	S.F.
20	01/13/06	UT17537	100	K.F.
20	01/23/06	UT18512	60	S.F.
21	01/27/06	UT19201	100	K.F.
		21 D	1780	
22		$21 R_x$	1/60	
	l			

- 7. Respondent manually initialed several of those Internet prescription orders.
- 8. UpTown Pharmacy received the Internet prescription orders from Brandt Technology Services L.L.C. ("Brandt Technology"), owned by Gary Brandt. Brandt

. 24

б

 12. UpTown Pharmacy purchases and receives from licensed wholesalers the

Technology contacted Respondent to arrange UpTown Pharmacy's dispensing for Internet prescription orders received from Brandt Technology.

- 9. Before receiving prescription drugs from UpTown Pharmacy, a patient fills out an on-line medical questionnaire. The medical questionnaire is reviewed by Turino Jennings, M.D., a Virginia-licensed allopathic physician. Dr. Jennings did not discuss medical history or physical symptoms with the patient, or perform a physical examination of the patient. After he reviews the patient's on-line medical questionnaire, Dr. Jennings decides whether to authorize a prescription for the patient and transmits the prescription information to Brandt Technology. Employees for Brandt Technology process the information from the patient and Dr. Jennings, and create a hard copy prescription order and mailing label, which are transmitted daily to UpTown Pharmacy. Brandt Technology does not hold an Arizona Pharmacy License. Although some of Brandt Technology's employees are certified pharmacy technicians, those employees do not hold Arizona Pharmacy Technician Licenses.
- 10. In addition to receiving and entering information into the patient's profile, unlicensed Brandt Technology employees work at UpTown Pharmacy where they assist in dispensing prescription drugs and perform duties that only a licensed pharmacist or pharmacist technician may lawfully perform.
- 11. Although UpTown Pharmacy has a Brandt Technology computer that provides the patient profile information associated with the prescription orders, Respondent has restricted access that information. However, unlicensed Brandt Technology employees have access to that patient profile information, so that Respondent could retrieve needed patient information.

prescription drugs, vials and lids it uses to fill the Internet prescription orders. Brandt

- 13. After filling the Internet prescription orders, UpTown Pharmacy mails via UPS the vials containing the prescription drugs to patients directly from UpTown Pharmacy.
- 14. Between July 7, 2005 and April 17, 2006, UpTown Pharmacy filled 28,527 Internet prescription orders authorized by Dr. Jennings. It filled an average of 141 prescriptions orders per day during the 202 days reviewed, with a high of 322 prescriptions filled on November 29, 2005.
- 15. UpTown Pharmacy dispensed the following prescription-only medications from Dr. Jennings' Internet prescription orders: Tramadol (26,517 prescriptions), Viagra (1,100), Cialis (451), Acyclovir (151), Levitra (128), Propecia (43), Valtrex (40), Xenical (20), Lunesta (15), Vaniqua (14), Retin-A (9), Condylox (8), Renova (7), Aldara (5), Denavir (3), Ortho Dialpak (3), Famvir (2), Nexium (2), Rozerem (2), Zyban (2), Celebrex (1), Flonase (1), Ortho Tricyclen (1), Plan B (1) and Zovirax (1).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 2. The Board may discipline a pharmacist who has engaged in unprofessional conduct. A.R.S. § 32-1927(A)(1).

## Knowingly Dispensing Prescription-Only Drugs From Prescription Orders Based Upon Internet Diagnoses.

3. A pharmacist shall ensure that a prescription-only drug is dispensed only after receipt of a valid prescription order from a licensed medical practitioner. A.C.C. § R4-23-404(E)(1); see also 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04(a).

б

- 4. To be valid, a prescription must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04(a); see also 66 Fed. Reg. 21181-84 (April 27, 2001) (Guidance for Dispensing and Purchasing Controlled Substances over the Internet).
- 5. A physician may not prescribe a prescription-only drug to a person unless the physician first conducts a physical examination of that person or has previously established a doctor-patient relationship. A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(ss); see also American Medical Association, Policy H-120.49 (Guidance for Physicians on Internet Prescribing) ("Physicians who prescribe medications via the Internet shall establish, or have established, a valid patient-physician relationship, including, but not limited to the following components. The physician shall: (i) obtain a reliable medical history and perform a physical examination of the patient, adequate to establish the diagnosis for which the drug is being prescribed and to identify the underlying conditions and/or contraindications to the treatment recommended/provided; (ii) have sufficient dialogue with the patient regarding treatment options and the risks and benefits of the treatment(s); (iii) as appropriate, follow up with the patient to assess the therapeutic outcome; (iv) maintain a contemporaneous medical record that is readily available to the patient and, subject to the patient's consent, to his or her other health care professionals; and (v) include the electronic prescription information as part of the medical record.").
- 6. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901(B)(12) ("Knowingly dispensing a drug on a prescription order that was issued in the course of the conduct of business of dispensing drugs pursuant to diagnosis by mail or the internet.").

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

#### Misbranding Prescription-Only Drugs.

- 7. Misbranding a prescription-only drug is a prohibited act. A.R.S. § 32-1965(2) ("The following acts or the causing of any thereof, in addition to any others so specified in [the Arizona Pharmacy Act, A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.], are prohibited: ... The adulteration or misbranding of any drug, device, poison, or hazardous substance.").
- 8. A prescription-only drug is misbranded if it is dispensed in the course of the conduct of a business of dispensing drugs pursuant to diagnosis by mail or the internet. A.R.S. §§ 32-1967(A), -1968(D) (Any drug dispensed in accordance with A.R.S. § 32-1968(A) is exempt from certain requirements of A.R.S. § 32-1967 if the drug container bears a label containing certain information. This exemption does not apply to any drug dispensed in the course of the conduct of a business of dispensing drugs pursuant to diagnosis by mail or the internet ....").
- 9. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901(B)(2) ("Violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation relating to the manufacture or distribution of drugs and devices or the practice of pharmacy.").

### Allowing Unlicensed Pharmacy Technicians to Perform Pharmacist Duties.

- 10. A pharmacist shall perform the following professional practices in dispensing a prescription medication from a prescription order:
  - Obtain, or assume responsibility to obtain, from the patient, patient's agent, or medical practitioner and record, or assume responsibility to record, in the patient's profile, the following information: (a) name, address, telephone number, date of birth (or age), and gender; (b) individual history including known diseases and medical conditions, known drug allergies or drug reactions, and if available a

comprehensive list of medications currently taken and medical devices currently used;

- Record, or assume responsibility to record, in the patient's profile, a
  pharmacist's comments relevant to the patient's drug therapy, including other
  information specific to the patient or drug;
- Verify the legality and pharmaceutical feasibility of dispensing a drug based upon: (a) a patients' allergies; (b) incompatibilities with a patient's currently-taken medications; (c) a patient's use of unusual quantities of dangerous drugs or narcotics; (d) a medical practitioner's signature; and (e) the frequency of refills;
- Interpret the prescription order, which includes exercising professional judgment in determining whether to dispense a particular prescription.
- Reduce to written or printed form, or assume responsibility to reduce to written or printed form, a new prescription order received by facsimile, computer modern, or other means of communication.

A.C.C. § R4-23-402(A)(3), (4), (5), (7), (14).

- 11. A pharmacy technician or pharmacy technician trainee shall not perform a function reserved for a pharmacist. A.C.C. § R4-23-1104(C).
- 12. A person shall not work as a pharmacy technician or pharmacy technician trainee in Arizona, unless the person possesses a pharmacy technician or pharmacy technician trainee license issued by the Board. A.C.C. § R4-23-1101(A)(1).
- 13. A person who knowingly performs the duties of a pharmacy technician or a pharmacy technician trainee without a license is guilty of a class 2 misdemeanor. A.R.S. § 32-1996(D).

14. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901(B)(19) ("Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting in the violation of, or conspiring to violate, [the Arizona Pharmacy Act, A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.].").

Failing to Ensure Proper Functioning and Security of Pharmacy's Computer System

- 15. A pharmacist-in-charge shall ensure that the pharmacy's computer system is capable of: (i) producing sight-readable information on all original and refill prescription orders and patient profiles; (ii) providing on-line retrieval (via CRT display or hard-copy printout) of original prescription order and patient profile information.

  A.C.C. § R4-23-408(B)(1-3).
- 16. A pharmacist-in-charge shall also ensure that the pharmacy's computer system has security and systems safeguards designed to prevent and detect unauthorized access, modification, or manipulation of prescription order information and patient profiles. A.C.C. § R4-23-408(F)(1).
- 17. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901(B)(2) ("Violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation relating to the manufacture or distribution of drugs and devices or the practice of pharmacy.").

Allowing Prescription Orders and Medications To Be Processed Through A Business That Is Not Licensed As a Pharmacy.

18. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901(B)(23) ("Participating in an arrangement or agreement to allow a prescription order or a prescription medication to be left at, picked up from, accepted by or delivered to a place that is not licensed as a pharmacy. This paragraph does not prohibit a pharmacist or a pharmacy from using an employee or a

common carrier to pick up prescription orders at or deliver prescription medications to the office or home of a medical practitioner, the residence of a patient or a patient's hospital.").

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and under the authority granted to the Board, under A.R.S. §§ 32-1928, 41-1092.07(F)(5), and A.A.C. R4-23-122(C),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Arizona Pharmacist License No. 12173, which was issued to William Brophy, is hereby placed on suspension for a period of forty five (45) days from the effective date of this Order. Thereafter, Respondent's license shall be placed on probation for a period of three (3) years from the final date of suspension.

The suspension and probation are subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent shall immediately return his pharmacist license to the Board for the period of suspension.
- 2. Respondent shall take and pass the Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination ("MPJE") with a score of seventy-five percent (75%) or better, within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this order. If Respondent fails the examination, he can take the examination one more time; however, the retaking of the examination shall occur within the probation period of this Order.
- 3. Respondent shall furnish all pharmacy employers with a copy of this Board Order throughout the term of his probation.
- 4. Respondent shall not serve as a preceptor pharmacist or pharmacist-in-charge throughout the term of his probation. Respondent, however, may serve as pharmacist-in-charge if he continues to serve as pharmacist-in-charge of

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UpTown pharmacy, or if he is an owner of a pharmacy during the term of his probation.

- 5. Respondent shall advise the Board immediately of any change in pharmacy employment status throughout the term of his probation.
- 6. Respondent shall furnish the Board with a list of all jurisdictions in which he maintains or has maintained licensure in the profession of pharmacy along with the registration numbers of said licenses.
- 7. Respondent shall complete two hundred (200) hours of community service approved by the Board staff before the termination of the probation period.
- 8. Respondent shall obey all federal and state laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy.
- 9. If Respondent violates this order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this order, or if Respondent fills any Internet prescription orders without Board approval, the Board, after giving the respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary actions against the Respondent's license. The issue at such a hearing will be limited solely to whether this order has been violated.
- 10. Respondent shall appear before the Board at a regularly scheduled Board meeting three years after the effective date of his probation to request that the probation imposed by this order be terminated. Respondent's failure to petition the Board to terminate the probation shall extend the probation period.

  DATED this day of May, 2006.

RIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

Galle Q

(Seal)

26

By:

# HAL WAND, R.Ph. Executive Director

i	Execut
2	ORIGINAL OF THE FORGOING FILED
3	this 2 day of September, 2006, with:
4	Arizona State Board of Pharmacy 4425 W. Olive Avenue, Suite 140
5	Glendale, Arizona 85302
6	EXECUTED COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED BY CERTIFIED MAIL this 26 day of Sotomber 2006, to:
7	
8	William Brophy 13352 W. Alvarado Drive Goodyear, Arizona 85338
9	Respondent
-10	EXECUTED COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED
11	this 26 day of Solenche, 2006, to:
12	Dawn Walton Lee Assistant Attorney General
13	Office of the Attorney General 15 S. 15th Ave.
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3003 Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy
15	of Fliatinacy
16	1 10-26/
17	Jac 100 (C)
18	LES06-0371/#471837
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	